

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/426,063	10/22/1999	GREGORY J. MESAROS	GEDP106US	1360
23623	7590 04/18/2006		EXAM	INER
AMIN & TU	-	RUDY, ANDREW J		
1900 EAST 9TH STREET, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 24TH FLOOR,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELAND, OH 44114			3627	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/426,063	MESAROS, GREGORY J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep find will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-9 and 39-51 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 and 39-51 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s) are subjected to by the Examestation of the drawing(s).	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement. iner. accepted or b) □ objected to by	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		. ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplica	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's January 30, 2006 Amendment has been reviewed. The previous
 October 31, 2005 rejection is withdrawn pursuant thereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-8 and 39-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogasawara, US 6,868,392.

Ogasawara discloses a computer implemented methodology for transacting business over a network comprising at least one computer, inputting price, e.g. 274 and non-price buying criteria, e.g. 276, for a product or service by a buyer, e.g. 300. correlating deals, e.g. 136, offering and outputting a plurality of deals, e.g. 302, and notifying the buyer of the of the product or service when the criteria does not match the seller, e.g. 110, criteria. Ogasawara does not explicitly disclose notifying the seller of the product or service when the criteria does not match the seller criteria. However, it appears Ogasawara does leave open this feature, e.g. col. 12, lines 19-60. Official Notice is taken that notifying sellers of when a product or service criteria does not match the buyer criteria has been common knowledge in the product/service commerce art. To have provided notification to the seller of the product or service when the criteria does not match the seller criteria for Ogasawara would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such is that alerting either party to potential trade barriers facilitates further commerce, e.g. added sales, and this has been long a standard motivational tool for sellers/buyers of products/services.

Application/Control Number: 09/426,063 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

3. Further references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Applicant's Information Disclosure Statement has been reviewed. Note attached 4.

PTO-892.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-

272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627